## Directions for Completing TABLE A ALLOCATING TITLE I FUNDS WHEN SERVING SCHOOLS BELOW 35% POVERTY- 125% RULE

STEP 1. On the worksheet for Table A, divide **the total Title I allocation** by the number of low-income students (public and private) in the entire school district for a per-pupil amount.

Note: Title I funds may, but are not required to, include carryover. See options for using carryover funds listed on the next page. All current grants (basic, concentration, local neglected, targeted, EFIG) must be included.

**STEP 2**. Multiply the per-pupil amount by 125%.

**STEP 3.** From the Title I funds reserve or set-aside amounts for:

Services for local neglected children (amount equal to the grant received for these programs)

Services for homeless children (may include services at shelters, etc.)

Parent involvement (if district allocation exceeds \$500,000 then 1% of the current funds must be reserved)

Capital expense

Professional development (schoolwide projects must set aside funds)
Administrative costs (differential salary and fringe benefit costs)

School improvement activities

Coordinated services

Summer school or intercession programs

Preschool programs Indirect costs

Audit expenses (only if district expends \$300,000 or more in federal funds per fiscal year) Subtract the total of the set-asides. If using Option 2 for carryover funds, add in only SEA approved carryover amounts.

Using the data from page 4 of the application, enter the school name(s) and the number of low-income public and private students on Table A. Determine the allocation by school for public and private school services. Enter the 125% amount computed on the worksheet in the "125% amount" column. For each school multiply the number of public low-income students by the 125% amount and enter under "Public Allocation." Multiply the number of private low-income students by the 125% amount and enter under "Private Allocation." Total columns as indicated. **Note:** If there are not enough funds to fully fund the last school (one with the least poverty), that school may receive less than other schools.

# WORKSHEET FOR TABLE A ALLOCATING TITLE I FUNDS WHEN SERVING SCHOOLS BELOW 35% POVERTY -125% RULE

### STEPS 1 and 2 \_divided by\_\_\_\_ = \_\_\_ X 125% = \_\_\_\_ Title I Funds: (per-pupil amt.) (Total Allocation) (district total of low-(125% amt.) income students) STEP 3 A. Title I Funds (total allocation) B. Minus Set-asides: services for neglected children services for homeless children **OPTIONS FOR USING CARRYOVER FUNDS:** parent involvement administrative costs Option 1. Include in Step 1. Option 2. Add in Step 3. capital expense Option 3. Allocate separately to schools professional development (can allocate more to poorest schools) school improvement Option 4. Use for an activity under the Set-asides coordinated services (i.e., summer or preschool) summer or intercession program preschool programs indirect cost audit expenses A - B (+ C if using Option 2 above) = DTOTAL SET-ASIDES: (sum of all set-asides) C. PLUS CARRYOVER FUNDS (if using Option 2 from above): D. TOTAL AMOUNT to allocate among the schools:

TABLE A  ${\tt ALLOCATING\ TITLE\ I\ FUNDS\ WHEN\ SERVING\ SCHOOLS\ BELOW\ 35\%\ POVERTY\ -\ 125\%\ RULE }$ 

## STEP 4

School Name	Low-Income Students		125 Percent Amount	Public Allocation	Private* Allocation	Total Allocation	Carryover Allocation (Option 3)			
	Public	Private					Public	Private	Total	
TOTALS:	·	1	ı							

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one private school, the total private allocation can be pooled to meet the needs of private school students or allocated based on the number of low-income students from eligible attendance centers in each private school.

TABLE A - Page 2

### EXAMPLE OF RANKING SCHOOLS & ALLOCATING FUNDS IN AN LEA

### SERVING SCHOOLS BELOW 35% POVERTY (125% RULE APPLIES)

Example 1	•	_		11-May-95			
Per-Pupil 125% Calculation: T							
amount per p	overty child (\$	Count of Children	fount by 1.25 to determine	tne minimum per-cn	nild payment (\$1,129.86) fe	or each attendance are	ea (see table below).
		from Low-Income	\$ Per				
LEA Allocation		Families	Poverty Child				
\$2,366,381 Di	vided By	2,618 =	\$903.89	X	125%	=	\$1,129.86
Total Title I Allocation	for LEA	\$2,366,381					
Reservations							
Neglected		- \$10,000					
1% Parent Involvement		- \$23,664					
Homeless		- \$10,000					
Administration, including cap	oital expenses	<u>- \$184,909</u>					
Remaining amount to be distrib	outed to schools	\$2,137,808					

					ALLOCATION TO ELIGIBLE SCHOOLS							
									Minimum		Allocation	Allocation
					Children from			Eligible	Attendance		Generated	Generated
		Enrollment		Low-Income Families				Schools	Area Allocation	Attendance	By Public	By Private
							Percent	1 = Yes	(No. Poor X	Area	School Poor	School Poor
	<u>Public</u>	<u>Private</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Public</u>	<u>Private</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Poor</u>	$\underline{0} = \underline{\text{No}}$	\$1,129.86)	Allocation (1)	<u>Children</u>	Children (2)
						ı	I E A A					
LEA Total	12766.58	1292.42	14,059	2550	68	2,618	LEA Avg. 18.62%	8	\$1,875,569	\$2,137,808	\$2,083,719	\$54,089
Attendance Area	12700.50	12)2.42	14,037	2330	00	2,010	10.0270	0	Ψ1,075,507	Ψ2,137,000	Ψ2,003,717	Ψ54,007
Valley View	606	90	696	265	8	273	39.22%	1	\$308,452	\$351,579	\$341,277	\$10,303
Violet Hill	772	98	870	287	5	292	33.56%	1	\$329,919	\$376,048	\$369,609	\$6,439
Elmwood	836	115	951	260	15	275	28.92%	1	\$310,712	\$354,155	\$334,837	\$19,318
Oakdale MS	255	21	276	78	0	78	28.26%	1	\$88,129	\$100,451	\$100,451	\$0
Hobson	513	88	601	124	6	130	21.63%	1	\$146,882	\$167,419	\$159,692	\$7,727
Davis	986.58	147.42	1,134	227	3	230	20.28%	1	\$259,868	\$296,202	\$292,339	\$3,864
Takoma HS	972	108	1,080	201	5	206	19.07%	1	\$232,751	\$265,294	\$258,855	\$6,439
Berlieth HS	801	132	933	176	0	176	18.86%	1	\$198,856	\$226,659	\$226,659	\$0
Indian Rock MS	1575	120	1,695	294	10	304	17.94%	0				
Camp Springs	1015	11	1,026	178	4	182	17.74%	0				
Taft HS	1890	183	2,073	237	9	246	11.87%	0				
Bannaker	823	51	874	89	2	91	10.41%	0				
White Hill	818	39	857	87	1	88	10.27%	0				
Eastern MS	442	48	490	47	0	47	9.59%	0				
Roosevelt HS	178	25	203	0	0	0	0.00%	0				
Wilson HS	284	16	300	0	0	0	0.00%	0				

<sup>(1)</sup> Example assumes that amount remaining after allocations based on the minimum amount per child would be redistributed proportionately to schools receiving funds.

<sup>(2)</sup> The LEA must reserve the amount of funds generated by private school children and in consultation with appropriate private school officials may (1) combine those amounts to create a pool of funds from which the LEA provides equitable services to eligible private school children in greatest need of those services; or (2) provide equitable services to eligible children in each private school with the funds generated by children from low-income families who attend that private school.

## Directions for Completing TABLE B ALL SCHOOLS WITH A POVERTY PERCENTAGE OF 35 OR ABOVE

STEP 1.

If all schools to be served have a poverty level at 35% or higher, the school district (in consultation with teachers, administrators, pupil services personnel, where appropriate, and parents of Title I children) determines the per-pupil amount to be allocated to the schools. In determining what per-pupil amount to allocate, the LEA should bear in mind the purpose of such funding --to enable children who are most at risk to meet the local challenging student performance standards. The per-pupil amount may be determined after the set-asides are reserved. The per-pupil amount can vary by using a tiered system among the schools as long as the poorest schools (highest percentage of poverty) receive a greater allocation. For example, in a tiered system the school district could use:

for schools greater than 65% - \$950 for schools from 50%-64% - \$900 for schools from 35-49% - \$800

**STEP 2**. From the Title I funds\*, reserve or set-aside amounts for:

Services for neglected children (amount equal to the grant received for these programs)

Services for homeless children (may include services at shelters, etc.)

Parent involvement (1% of the current funds must be reserved if district allocation exceeds

\$500,000)

Capital expenses

Professional development (schoolwide projects must set-aside funds)
Administrative costs (differential salary and fringe benefit costs)

School improvement activities

Coordinated services

Summer school or intercession programs

Preschool programs Indirect costs

Audit expenses (only if district expends \$300,000 or more in federal funds per fiscal year)

Subtract the total of the set-asides. If using Option 1 for carryover funds, add in only SEA approved carryover amounts.

\*Note: Title I funds may but are not required to include carryover (see carryover options listed on the Table worksheet). All <u>current</u> grants (basic, concentration, local neglected, targeted, EFIG) must be included.

STEP 3. Using the data from page 4 of the application, enter the school name(s) and the number of low-income public and private students on Table B. Determine the allocation by school for public and private school services. For each school, multiply the number of public low-income students by the per-pupil amount and enter under "Public Allocation." Enter the per pupil amount in the appropriate column. Follow the same procedure for each private school's allocation. Total columns as indicated. **NOTE:** If there are not enough funds to fully fund the last school (one with the least poverty), that school may receive less than other schools.

# TABLE B WORKSHEET ALL SCHOOLS WITH A POVERTY PERCENTAGE OF 35 OR ABOVE

STEP 1. School district determines the per-pupil amount:	If using a tiered system, record the school poverty levels and per-pupil amounts here (Sample of tiered system amounts provided on previous page.)						
STEP 2. A. Title I Funds	A(total allocation)						
B. Minus Set-asides:  services for neglected children services for homeless children parent involvement administrative costs capital expenses professional development school improvement coordinated services summer or intercession programs preschool programs indirect costs audit expense	OPTIONS FOR USING CARRYOVER FUNDS: Option 1. Add in Step 2. Option 2. Allocate separately to schools						
TOTAL SET-ASIDES:	A - B (+ C if using Option 1 above) = D  B						
C. PLUS CARRYOVER FUNDS (If using Option 1 from above	e): C						
D. TOTAL AMOUNT to be allocated among the schools:	D						
	Table B- Page 1						

TABLE B ALL SCHOOLS WITH A POVERTY PERCENTAGE OF 35 OR ABOVE

## STEP 3

School Name	Low-Income Students		Per-Pupil Amount	Public Allocation	Private* Allocation	Total Allocation	Carr	yover Alloca (Option 3)	
	Public	Private					Public	Private	Total
TOTALS:									

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one private school, the total private allocation can be pooled to meet the needs of private school students or allocated based on the number of low-income students from eligible attendance centers in each private school.

TABLE B - Page 2

### EXAMPLE OF RANKING SCHOOLS & ALLOCATING FUNDS IN AN LEA

### USING THE 35% ELIGIBILITY PROVISION AND ALLOWING FOR "BANDS" OF POVERTY WITHIN THE LEA

Example 4			11-May-95 Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.
Pe	er Pupil Calculation (125% Not Required)	Total John My not the Good to Tournang	
Schools with gr Schools with gr Schools with les	ermines to allocate per-poverty child: seater than 65% poverty seater than 50% poverty, less than 65% poverty ss than 50% poverty EA Discretion)	\$950 \$900 \$850	
Total Title I Allocation for LEA	\$5,432,969		
Reservations Neglected 1% Parent Involvement Homeless Administration, including capital expenses	- \$20,000 - \$54,330 - \$20,000 <u>- \$346,039</u>		
Remaining amount to be distributed to schools	\$4,992,600		

#### ALLOCATION TO ELIGIBLE SCHOOLS

	Total		hildren from Income Fami		Percent	Eligible Schools 1 = Yes	Attendance Area Allocation (No. Poor X \$950, \$900, or \$850, Depending	Allocation Generated By Public School Poor	Allocation Generated By Private School Poor
	Enrollment	Public	Private	Total	Poor	0 = No	on Poverty Band	Children	Children (1)
									<u></u> (-)
LEA Total Attendance Area	14,059	6,767	100	6,867	LEA Avg. 48.84%	11	\$4,992,600	\$4,906,700	\$85,900
Violet Hill	870	850	20	870	100.00%	1	\$826,500	\$807,500	\$19,000
Oakdale MS	276	202	8	210	76.09%	1	\$199,500	\$191,900	\$7,600
Elmwood	951	591	24	615	64.67%	1	\$553,500	\$531,900	\$21,600
Valley View	696	444	0	444	63.79%	1	\$399,600	\$399,600	\$0
Hobson	601	367	10	377	62.73%	1	\$339,300	\$330,300	\$9,000
Berlieth HS	933	550	5	555	59.49%	1	\$499,500	\$495,000	\$4,500
Davis HS	1,134	646	8	654	57.67%	1	\$588,600	\$581,400	\$7,200
Indian Rock MS	1,695	815	0	815	48.08%	1	\$692,750	\$692,750	\$0
Roosevelt HS	203	95	0	95	46.80%	1	\$80,750	\$80,750	\$0
Takoma HS	1,080	487	6	493	45.65%	1	\$419,050	\$413,950	\$5,100
Camp Springs	1,026	449	14	463	45.13%	1	\$393,550	\$381,650	\$11,900
White Hill	857	293	3	296	34.54%	0			
Bannaker	874	299	2	301	34.44%	0			
Eastern MS	490	142	0	142	28.98%	0			
Taft HS	2,073	509	0	509	24.55%	0			
Wilson HS	300	28	0	28	9.33%	0			

(1) The LEA must reserve the amount of funds generated by private school children and in consultation with appropriate private school officials may (1) combine those amounts to create a pool of funds from which the LEA provides equitable services to eligible private school children in greatest need of those services; or (2) provide equitable services to eligible children in each private school with the funds generated by children from low-income families who attend that private school.

# EXAMPLE OF RANKING SCHOOLS & ALLOCATING FUNDS IN AN LEA USING THE 35% ELIGIBILITY PROVISION

Example 2	Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.		
Amount LEA det	ermines to allocate per-poverty child: (LEA Discretion)	\$700.00	
Total Title I Allocation for LEA	\$4,180,273		
Reservations Neglected 1% Parent Involvement Homeless Administration, including capital expenses	- \$38,000 - \$23,664 - \$20,000 - \$184,909		
Remaining amount to be distributed to schools	\$3,913,700		

#### ALLOCATION TO ELIGIBLE SCHOOLS

	Total	Children Low-Incom	n from ne Families		Percent	Eligible Schools 1 = Yes	Attendance Area Allocation (No. of Poor	Allocation Generated By Public School Poor	Allocation Generated By Private School Poor
	Enrollment	Public	Private	Total	Poor	0 = No	X \$700	Children	Children (1)
					LEA Avg.		, <del></del>		,
LEA Total Attendance Area	14,059	6,767	100	6,867	48.84%	11	\$3,913,700	\$3,847,200	\$66,500
Violet Hill	870	850	20	870	100.00%	1	\$609,000	\$595,000	\$14,000
Oakdale MS	276	202	8	210	76.09%	1	\$147,000	\$141,400	\$5,600
Elmwood	951	591	24	615	64.67%	1	\$430,500	\$413,700	\$16,800
Valley View	696	444	0	444	63.79%	1	\$310,800	\$310,800	\$0
Hobson	601	367	10	377	62.73%	1	\$263,900	\$256,900	\$7,000
Berlieth HS	933	550	5	555	59.49%	1	\$388,500	\$385,000	\$3,500
Davis HS	1,134	646	8	654	57.67%	1	\$457,800	\$452,200	\$5,600
Indian Rock MS	1,695	815	0	815	48.08%	1	\$570,500	\$570,500	\$0
Roosevelt HS	203	95	0	95	46.80%	1	\$66,500	\$66,500	\$0
Takoma HS	1,080	487	6	493	45.65%	1	\$345,100	\$340,900	\$4,200
Camp Springs	1,026	449	14	463	45.13%	1	\$324,100	\$314,300	\$9,800
White Hill	857	293	3	296	34.54%	0			
Bannaker	874	299	2	301	34.44%	0			
Eastern MS	490	142	0	142	28.98%	0			
Taft HS	2,073	509	0	509	24.55%	0			
Wilson HS	300	28	0	28	9.33%	0			

<sup>(1)</sup> The LEA must reserve the amount of funds generated by private school children and in consultation with appropriate private school officials may (1) combine those amounts to create a pool of funds from which the LEA provides equitable services to eligible private school children in greatest need of those services; or (2) provide equitable

services to eligible children in each private school with the funds generated by children from low-income families who attend that private school.

# EXAMPLE OF RANKING SCHOOLS & ALLOCATING FUNDS IN AN LEASERVING ATTENDANCE AREAS ABOVE THE DISTRICT POVERTY RATE

Example 3		Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.	
Amount LEA det	\$573.96		
Total Title I Allocation for LEA	\$2,366,573		
Reservations Neglected 1% Parent Involvement Homeless Administration, including capital expenses	- \$10,000 - \$23,664 - \$10,000 - \$184,909		
Remaining amount to be distributed to schools	\$2,138,000		

#### ALLOCATION TO ELIGIBLE SCHOOLS

		Children	n from ne Families			Eligible Schools	Attendance Area Allocation	Allocation Generated By Public	Allocation Generated By Private
	Total				Percent	1 = Yes	(No. of Poor	School Poor	School Poor
	Enrollment	<u>Public</u>	<b>Private</b>	<u>Total</u>	Poor	0 = No	X \$573.96)	Children	Children (1)
				Г					
T.D.A. (D. ). 1	14.050		100	6.067	LEA Avg.		#2 120 000	#2 00 4 0 5 2	D 4 2 0 4 7
LEA Total	14,059	6,767	100	6,867	48.84%	11	\$2,138,000	\$2,094,953	\$43,047
Attendance Area									
Violet Hill	870	850	20	870	100.00%	1	\$499,345	\$487,866	\$11,479
Oakdale MS	276	202	8	210	76.09%	1	\$120,532	\$115,940	\$4,592
Elmwood	951	591	24	615	64.67%	1	\$352,985	\$339,210	\$13,775
Valley View	696	444	0	444	63.79%	1	\$254,838	\$254,838	\$0
Hobson	601	367	10	377	62.73%	1	\$216,383	\$210,643	\$5,740
Berlieth HS	933	550	5	555	59.49%	1	\$318,548	\$315,678	\$2,870
Davis HS	1,134	646	8	654	57.67%	1	\$375,370	\$370,778	\$4,592
Indian Rock MS	1,695	815	0	815	48.08%	1			
Roosevelt HS	203	95	0	95	46.80%	1			
Takoma HS	1,080	487	6	493	45.65%	1			
Camp Springs	1,026	449	14	463	45.13%	1			
White Hill	857	293	3	296	34.54%	0			
Bannaker	874	299	2	301	34.44%	0			
Eastern MS	490	142	0	142	28.98%	0			
Taft HS	2,073	509	0	509	24.55%	0			
Wilson HS	300	28	0	28	9.33%	0			

<sup>(1)</sup> The LEA must reserve the amount of funds generated by private school children and in consultation with appropriate private school officials may (1) combine those amounts to create a pool of funds from which the LEA provides equitable services to eligible private school children in greatest need of those services; or (2) provide equitable services to eligible children in each private school with the funds generated by children from low-income families who attend that private school.